

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOHN KEVRANIAN and
TAMMY ZAPATA, individually and
on behalf of those similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YAHOO! Inc., a California Corporation,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 5:13-cv-04547-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATION TO DISMISS CASE**

1 The Court has considered the stipulation to dismiss submitted by the parties.

2 WHEREAS the parties had an in-person meeting to go over the issues in this case and
3 Plaintiffs no longer believe this is a case they would like to pursue based on the representations
4 by Defendant and further consideration and research based on these representations;

5 WHEREAS the parties believe it is in the best interest of the time and resources of the
6 parties, along with judicial time and resources, to dismiss the instance case, without prejudice;

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Stipulation to Dismiss Case is GRANTED.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: 1/9/2014

11 
12 HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28